

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCA United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 09/575,605 | 05/22/2000 | Kornelis Antoine Schouhamer Immink | PHN-17.459 1475 | | |
| 24737 | 7590 09/09/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| PHILIPS IN' P.O. BOX 300 | TELLECTUAL PROP | KUMAR, PANKAJ | | | |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2631 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Applic | ation No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/57 | 5,605 | SCHOUHAMER IMMINK, KORNELIS ANTOINE | | | |
| | | Exami | ner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | | Kumar | 2631 | | | |
| Period for Re | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re, Any reply rec | ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 for reply is specified above, the maximum stated by within the set or extended period for reply served by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. O) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the | event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE | nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Resp | onsive to communication(s) file | d on 10 June 200 | 4 . | | | | |
| | is action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)☐ Since | <u>, </u> | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of | Claims | | | | | | |
| 4a) C 5)∭ Clain 6)∭ Clain 7)∭ Clain | 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9-12,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3,8 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 16-19 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Page | apers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The s | pecification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)∐ The d | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Appli | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| | 35 U.S.C. § 119 | ·, ····· | | 7.01.01.01.101.11.7.7.0.102. | | | |
| 12) | by b | documents have be documents have be of the priority docu nal Bureau (PCT F | een received. een received in Applicati ments have been receive Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Statement(s) (PTO-152) Other: | | | | | | | |
| S. Dotont and Trademade | 000- | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/10/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Bauml teaches Au is complex and does not teach that Au is digital. This is not persuasive since fig. 4 in Bauml teaches discrete values and hence digital values (as analog values are not discrete) for Au. Also col. 4 lines 17-19 say: "The transmission sequence of complex values Au,p is favorably combined to form a vector Au"; hence Au is transmitted as shown in figures 1 and 3 of Bauml and Bauml's title includes "digital transmission"
- 2. Applicant also argues that Bauml does not disclose alternative sequences and instead discloses a block vector(s). Although Bauml discloses block vectors, applicant's arguments are not persuasive since Bauml discloses what is claimed by the applicant: a selection is made (Bauml col. 4 lines 39-40: "a favorable transmit signal au is finally selected") of the alternative digital sequence (Bauml col. 4 line 39: "from said U possibilities"; title includes "digital transmission") which has the lowest peak power (Bauml col. 5 lines 50-57: "transmit signal sequence au ... which among alternative transmit sequences has the lowest peak value").
- 3. Applicant's alternative sequence claim limitation is interpreted with respect to Bauml as being the alternative sequences au(1) to au(u) which go into SM in figure 1 of Bauml and then SM chooses the sequence to transmit which has the lowest peak value from the alternative sequences.

Art Unit: 2631

Response to Amendment

Page 3

Election/Restrictions

4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-15, drawn to developing signals for transmission to a receiver, classified

in class 375, subclass 260.

II. Claims 16-19, drawn to recovering transmitted signals at the receiver, classified in

class 375, subclass 316.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

5. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single

combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as transmission

without necessarily using the receiver specified in claims 16-19. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Since claims 1-15 have already been examined in the prior action, new claims 16-19 are

automatically restricted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2631

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 8. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 6-7, 9-12, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bauml et al. USPN 6125103.
- 9. As per claim 1, Bauml teaches a transmission system for transmitting datawords via a multicarrier signal from a transmitter to a receiver, the transmitter comprising a generator for generating for each dataword (Bauml fig. 1: NR) a number of alternative digital sequences (Bauml fig. 1: output of mixers; au(1)...au(U); col. 4 line 39: "from said U possibilities"), and the transmitter further comprising a selector (Bauml fig. 1: SM; col. 4 lines 39-40: "a favorable transmit signal au is finally selected") for selecting an alternative digital sequence (Bauml col. 4 line 39: "from said U possibilities"; title includes "digital transmission") with a lowest peak power value (Bauml col. 5 lines 50-57: "transmit signal sequence au ... which among alternative transmit sequences has the lowest peak value") for transmission to the receiver (Bauml col. 5 lines 41-57, col. 6 lines 26-37; inherent for a transmitter to transmit to a receiver), wherein the generator is embodied so as operable to combine mutually different digital words (Bauml fig. 1: p(1)...p(U); col. 4 lines 28-29 "vectors P(u)...different from each other") with the dataword (Bauml fig. 1: serial/parallel conversions of NR are combined with p(1)...p(U)) in order to form the alternative digital sequences (Bauml fig. 1, 3: au(1)...au(U)).
- 10. As per claim 2, Bauml teaches a transmission system according to Claim 1, characterized in that the generator (20) comprises an augmentor (40) for generating for each dataword (Bauml fig. 1: serial/parallel conversions of NR) (19) a number of intermediate sequences (Bauml fig. 1:

Art Unit: 2631

output of mixers indicated with a circle around an x) (41) by combining the digital words (Bauml fig. 1: p(1)...p(U)) with the dataword (Bauml fig. 1: serial/parallel conversions of NR) (19), the generator (20) further comprising a scrambler (Bauml fig. 1: IDFT, differential modulation DM) (42) for scrambling the intermediate sequences (41) in order to form the alternative digital sequences (Bauml fig. 1: au(1)...au(U); col. 4 line 39: "from said U possibilities") (21).

- As per claim 4, Bauml teaches a transmission system according to Claim 1, wherein characterized in that the generator comprises: a splitter for splitting the dataword and the digital words into fragments (Bauml fig. 1, 3: serial NR split into multiple parallel fragments) and, the generator (20) further comprising a combiner for combining the fragments in order to form the alternative digital sequences (Bauml fig. 3: adder combining au(1) to au(V) after mixing with b1 to bV, respectively; NR is split into alternative sequences au).
- As per claim 5, Bauml teaches a transmission system according to claim 1 wherein characterized in that the selector comprises an Inverse Discrete Fourier Transformer for calculating for each alternative digital sequence (Bauml fig. 1: output of mixers) the Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform (IDFT) (Bauml fig. 1: IDFT); the selector (22) further comprising means for determining for each alternative digital sequence the maximum of the calculated IDFT values (Bauml cols. 5-6 equations 1-3: max function), the selector (22) also comprising means for selecting the alternative sequence with a lowest maximum for transmission to the receiver (Bauml cols. 5-6 equations 1-3: argmin of max).

Art Unit: 2631

13. Claims 6-7, 9-12, 14-15 have been discussed above with respect to other claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 3, 8, 13 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The art of record does not suggest the respective claim combinations together and nor would the respective claim combinations be obvious with:
- 15. As per claim 3, a transmission system according to Claim 2, wherein the augmentor is operable to generate 2^r intermediate sequences by combining all possible digital words of length r with the dataword.
- 16. As per claim 8, a transmitter according to Claim 7, wherein the augmentor is operable to generate 2^r intermediate sequences by combining all possible digital words of length r with the dataword (19).
- 17. As per claim 13, a method of transmitting datawords via a multicarrier signal according to claim 12, wherein 2^r intermediate sequences are generated by combining all possible digital words of length r with the dataword.

Art Unit: 2631

Conclusion

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2631

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (571) 272-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Wed and Thurs 8AM to after 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H. Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PK

MOHAMMED GHAYOUR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER